

Exposure Draft *Methodology for Enhancing the International Applicability of the SASB Standards and SASB Standards—Survey*

Document purpose:

The International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB) welcomes views from stakeholders, who can submit responses to the Exposure Draft via a survey or comment letter.

The purpose of this document is to provide stakeholders with an overview of the survey only; please do not submit this document in response to the Exposure Draft.

This document is provided for information only. To submit a survey in response to the Exposure Draft, please [access the survey directly here](#).

Disclaimer: To the extent permitted by applicable law, the ISSB and the IFRS Foundation (Foundation) expressly disclaim all liability howsoever arising from this publication or any translation thereof whether in contract, tort or otherwise to any person in respect of any claims or losses of any nature including direct, indirect, incidental or consequential loss, punitive damages, penalties or costs.

Information contained in this publication does not constitute advice and should not be substituted for the services of an appropriately qualified professional.

© 2023 IFRS Foundation

All rights reserved. Reproduction and use rights are strictly limited. Please contact the Foundation for further details at permissions@ifrs.org

Introduction

Invitation to comment

The ISSB published the Exposure Draft *Methodology for Enhancing the International Applicability of the SASB® Standards and SASB Standards Taxonomy Updates* on 11 May 2023. Comments must be received by 9 August 2023. This survey has been developed to support stakeholders in responding to the proposals in the Exposure Draft as an alternative to a comment letter.

Your comments on this Exposure Draft are vital to inform the ISSB's standard-setting process.

Working with the online survey

- To work with the online survey, you must enable cookies in your browser and on the survey site to prevent data loss if completing the survey over a long period.
- We recommend you complete the survey in one session. However, if you wish to come back to the survey to pick up where you left off, you must use the same browser and you must not clear your cookies.
- We also recommend you keep a copy of your survey answers if you are working with it over a long period. The survey questions are identical to the questions in the Exposure Draft.
- Please complete the survey in question order. If you need to return to a previous answer, you can navigate through the survey using the forward and back buttons, or by using the table of contents, which can be accessed from every page of the survey via the three lines in the upper left corner of the page.
- The survey will be saved automatically when you navigate to the next page. Please note that if you leave the survey before moving to the next page, the answers on the current page will not be saved.
- Each text box has a character limit of 20,000 (between 2860 and 5000 words with spaces included).
- To submit your response, please select the 'Submit' button at the end of the survey.
- Your survey response will be made publicly available on the IFRS Foundation website in line with the IFRS Foundation's transparent due process.
- We recommend you refer to the ISSB's Exposure Draft *Methodology for Enhancing the International Applicability of the SASB® Standards and SASB Standards Taxonomy Updates* while completing the survey to better inform your response.

About the survey

- The survey consists of this introduction, an 'About You' section and five sections containing questions aligned with those in the Exposure Draft.
- The 'About You' section must be completed in order to submit your response, but you do not need to respond to all the questions to submit your response.
- Most of the questions consist of two parts:

- a multiple choice question to indicate whether you agree or disagree with the proposal. This is an optional question that is gathered for the purpose of analysing the survey responses.
- a text box where you can explain your answer, subject to a character limit.

Not for submission

Question 1—Methodology objective

The Exposure Draft describes the proposed methodology to amend non-climate-related SASB Standards metrics to enhance their international applicability when they contain a jurisdiction-specific reference.

(a) Are the scope of the intended enhancements and the objective of the proposed methodology stated clearly in paragraph 9? If not, why not?

- Yes
- No

Optional: please explain _____

(b) Are the constraints of the objective as listed in paragraph 9 (preserving structure and intent, decision-usefulness and cost-effectiveness) appropriate? Why or why not?

- Yes
- No

Optional: please explain _____

(c) Should any other objective(s) or constraint(s) be included in the proposed methodology? If so, what alternative or additional objective(s) or constraint(s) would you suggest? How would these add value to the proposed methodology?

- Yes
- No

Optional: please explain _____

Question 2—Overall methodology

The Exposure Draft explains the proposed methodology to amend the SASB Standards metrics to enhance their international applicability when they contain jurisdiction-specific references.

(a) Do you agree that the proposed methodology would enhance the international applicability of the SASB Standards metrics? If not, what alternative approach do you suggest and why?

- Yes
- No

Optional: please explain _____

Not for submission

Question 3—Revision approaches

The Exposure Draft explains five revision approaches to enhance the international applicability of non-climate-related SASB Standards metrics. Every disclosure topic, metric and technical protocol amended using the methodology will apply these five revision approaches, either individually or in combination. The methodology begins with Revision Approach 1, which uses internationally recognised frameworks and guidance to define relevant terms of reference.

(a) Do you agree that replacing jurisdiction-specific references with internationally recognised frameworks and guidance—if identified—should be the first course of action? If not, why not?

- Yes
- No

Optional: please explain _____

(b) If Revision Approach 1 is not feasible, do you agree that using the remaining four revision approaches would enhance the international applicability of the SASB Standards? Why or why not?

- Yes
- No

Optional: please explain _____

(c) Could the revised metrics resulting from any specific revision approaches or combination of approaches pose problems for the preparers applying them? Why or why not?

- Yes
- No

Optional: please explain _____

(d) Do you agree with the criteria for determining which of the proposed revision approaches applies in different circumstances? Why or why not?

- Yes
- No

Optional: please explain _____

(i) What changes to the criteria would you recommend and why?

Not for submission

Question 4—SASB Standards Taxonomy Update objective

The Exposure Draft describes the proposed approach to updating the SASB Standards Taxonomy to reflect amendments to the SASB Standards.

(a) Do you agree with the proposed methodology to update the SASB Standards Taxonomy to reflect changes to the SASB Standards? Why or why not?

- Yes
- No

Optional: please explain _____

(i) If you do not agree, what alternative approach would you recommend and why?

Not for submission

Question 5—Future SASB Standards refinements

The Exposure Draft focuses specifically on the first phase of narrow-scope work to amend the SASB Standards metrics in accordance with the proposed methodology to enhance their international applicability when they contain jurisdiction-specific references. In subsequent phases, the ISSB will consider further enhancements to the SASB Standards to improve their decision-usefulness, balance their cost-effectiveness for preparers and ensure their international relevance.

(a) What other methods, considerations or specific amendments would be useful to guide the ISSB's future work of refining the SASB Standards to support the application of IFRS S1? Why would they be useful?

(b) Do you have any specific comments or suggestions for the ISSB to consider in planning future enhancements to the SASB Standards?

Not for Submission