

This paper has been prepared by the EFRAG Secretariat for discussion at a meeting of EFRAG SR TEG. The paper forms part of an early stage of the development of a potential EFRAG position. Consequently, the paper does not represent the official views of EFRAG or any individual member of the EFRAG SRB or EFRAG SR TEG. The paper is made available to enable the public to follow the discussions in the meeting. Tentative decisions are made in public and reported in the EFRAG Update. EFRAG positions, as approved by the EFRAG SRB, are published as comment letters, discussion or position papers, or in any other form considered appropriate in the circumstances.

V3**DRAFT EUROPEAN SUSTAINABILITY REPORTING STANDARD****ESRS LSME – SECTION 1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS****DISCLAIMER**

[Draft] LSME ESRS Section 1 *General requirements* is set out in paragraphs 1 – 136. The following appendices have the same authority as the main body of the [draft] Standard:

- Appendix A: *Defined terms*;
- Appendix B: *Application Requirements*;
- Appendix C: *Qualitative characteristics of information*;
- Appendix D: *List of phased-in Disclosure Requirements*;
- Appendix E: *Structure of ESRS sustainability statements*;

[Draft] LSME ESRS Section 1 is accompanied by the following illustrative non-authoritative appendices:

- Appendix F: *Flowchart for determining disclosures to be included*;
- Appendix G: *Example of structure of ESRS sustainability statements*;
- Appendix H: *Example of incorporation by reference*.

The [draft] Standard also uses terms defined in other [draft] ESRS and shall be read in the context of its objective.



CONTENT AND STATUS OF THIS DRAFT

This draft has to be read in conjunction with the Agenda Papers on LSME discussed in SR TEG and SRB meeting in January, February, March, April and May 2023.

This draft has been developed as a markup from [draft] ESRS 1 and [draft] ESRS 2 (November 2022). In the version v2.3 presented at SR TEG meeting on 21 June 2023, the changes stemming from the European Commission Delegated Act ESRS set1 have been reflected.

Parts in **yellow** are pending decisions and topics to be further discussed.

Parts in **grey** are modified based on the new EC draft DA ESRS set1 (June 2023). To also note that the appendixes of each of the sections and the bold words of the glossary are not yet updated.

To reflect the principle of proportionality, the following key simplifications have been implemented in this document (on the basis of the SR TEG discussions/ approach presented by the EFRAG Secretariat at those meetings):

- The text of SET 1 standards has been integrally considered and amended where appropriate, so that LSME will be a standalone document.
- EFRAG will consult on the applicability of each Sector specific ESRS to LSMEs, as part of the consultation on that ESRS. A question on the general approach to sector standards in LSME will also be included in LSME Exposure Draft. Pending the definition of such an approach, in this draft the references to sector-specific ESRS has been eliminated.
- The reporting covers material impacts and risks. Opportunities are voluntary.
- The undertaking shall disclose negative impacts. Positive impacts are voluntary.
- The approach to entity-specific disclosure is unchanged from Set 1 ('shall'). **[ENTITY SPECIFIC UNDER DISCUSSION IN THE VSME BUT NOT LSME]**
- Targets. The requirement is to disclose whether the undertaking has targets, and if it has targets, the requirement is to specify whether they are science-based and how they are calculated and implemented.
- Due diligence: the undertaking is required to disclose whether and to what extent it has implemented sustainability due diligence processes as defined in the relevant OECD, UNGP and ILO instruments.
- Update, following the draft EC DA ESRS (June 2023) the list of items outside the materiality assessment is limited to Section 2 General Disclosures (equivalent to ESRS 2)
- According to the SR TEG discussion, no specific simplifications have been implemented in this text for Value Chain (Chapter 5).
- Simplifications have been introduced in chapter 6.1, 6.4 and 6.5.
- Chapter 6.7 Information on intellectual property, know-how or results of innovation has been simplified and adjusted with EC DA.
- Appendixes have been updated according to EC DA ESRS as follows
 - Appendix A "Application Requirements;
 - Appendix B "Qualitative Characteristics";
- All other Appendixes are to be reviewed and are not included in this LSME ESRS v.3

Table of contents

Objective	5
Section 1: LSME General Requirements	6
1. Categories of disclosures	6
1.1 Complying with [draft] LSME ESRS	6
1.2 LSME ESRS structure and reporting areas	6
2. Qualitative characteristics of information	8
3. Double materiality as the basis for sustainability disclosures	8
3.1 Stakeholders and their relevance to the materiality assessment process	8
3.2 Material matters and materiality of information	9
3.3. Double materiality	10
3.4 Impact materiality	11
3.5 Financial materiality	12
3.6 Material impacts or risks arising from actions to address sustainability matters	12
3.7 Level of disaggregation	13
4. Value chain	13
4.1 Reporting undertaking and value chain	13
4.2 Estimation using sector averages and proxies	14
5. Time horizons	14
5.1 Reporting period	14
5.2 Linking past, present and future	15
5.3 Reporting progress against the base year	15
5.4 Definition of short-, medium- and long-term for reporting purposes	15
6. Preparation and presentation of sustainability information	15
6.1 Presenting comparative information	16
6.2 Sources of estimation and outcome uncertainty	16
6.3 Updating disclosures about events after the end of the reporting period	17
6.4 Changes in preparation or presentation of sustainability information	17
6.5 Reporting errors in prior periods	17
6.6 Consolidated reporting and subsidiary exemption	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.7 Classified and sensitive information, and information on intellectual property, know-how or results of innovation	18
7. Structure of sustainability statements	18
7.1 General presentation requirement	18
7.2 Content and structure of the sustainability statements	19
8. Linkages with other parts of corporate reporting and connected information	19
8.1 Incorporation by reference	19
8.2 Connected information and connectivity with financial statements	21
9. Transitional provisions	22

9.1. Transitional provision related to section 1.4 Entity-specific disclosures	22
9.2 Transitional provision related to chapter 4 Value chain	22
9.3 Transitional provision related to section 6.1 Presenting comparative information	22
9.4 Transitional provision: List of Disclosure Requirements that are phased-in for [draft] ESRS to year 2 or subsequent years	23
Appendix A: Defined terms	24
Appendix A: Application Requirements	25
Application of the Disclosure Contents defined in Section 2	25
Policy	25
3.3 Application requirements – Double materiality	25
Stakeholders and their relevance to the materiality assessment process	25
5.2 Application requirements – Estimation using sector averages and proxies	31
Appendix B: Qualitative characteristics of information	32
Appendix C: List of phased-in Disclosure Requirements	35
Appendix E: Structure of ESRS sustainability statements	36
Appendix F: Flowchart for determining disclosures to be included	37
Appendix G: Example of structure of ESRS sustainability statements	38
Appendix H: Example of incorporation by reference	39

Objective

1. The objective of this European Sustainability Reporting Standards for listed SMEs (LSME ESRS) is to specify the sustainability information that the undertakings specified in the next paragraph shall disclose in their individual sustainability statement in accordance with Directive 2013/34/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council¹, as amended by Directive (EU) 2022/2464² (the “CSRD”). Reporting in accordance with ESRS does not exempt undertakings from other obligations laid down in substantive Union law. In particular, this [draft] Standard sets out General Requirements (Section 1), General Disclosures (Section 2) and Topical Disclosures (Sections 3, 4 and 5) that specify the sustainability information to be disclosed in accordance with Directive 2013/34/EU as amended by Directive EU 2022/2464 Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive when using the derogation in art. 19a (6) and 29c.
2. In scope of LSME ESRS are the following undertakings, together and hereafter the “LSME” or “undertaking”:
 - (a) small and medium-sized undertakings, which are public-interest entities according to point (a) of point (1) of article 2 of Directive 2013/34/EU and which are not micro-undertakings as defined in Article 3(1) of that Directive. According to Art. 4(5) of the Transparency Directive (as amended by the CSRD), this also includes third country listed SMEs;
 - (b) small non - complex credit institutions defined in point (145) of Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013;
 - (c) captive insurance undertakings defined in point (2) of Article 13 of Directive 2009/138/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ; and
 - (d) captive reinsurance undertakings defined in point (5) of Article 13 of that same Directive.
3. A LSME is allowed to use the derogation in art. 19a (6) and 29c of the CSRD and prepare its individual sustainability statement using this [draft] Standard when it is not a parent of a large group. A large group is a group that, on a consolidated basis, does not exceed the limits of at least two of the three following criteria (as defined in art. 3 (7) of Directive 2013/34/EU):
 - (a) balance sheet total - EUR 20,000,000.
 - (b) net turnover - EUR 40,000,000;
 - (c) average number of employees during the financial year – 250.
4. A LSME, which is a parent undertaking of a large group, is required to present consolidated sustainability reporting to be included in its management report, prepared according to the ESRS for large undertakings (art. 29a and 29b of Directive 2013/34/EU as amended by the CSRD).
5. Specifically, LSME ESRS specify the information that an undertaking shall disclose about its **material impacts** and **risks** in relation to environmental, social, and governance **sustainability matters**. LSME ESRS does not require undertakings to disclose any information on environmental, social and governance topics covered by this standard, when the undertaking has assessed as non-material (See Appendix E flowchart for

¹ Directive 2013/34/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on the annual financial statements, consolidated financial statements and related reports of certain types of undertakings, amending Directive 2006/43/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Council Directives 78/660/EEC and 83/349/EEC (OJ L 182, 29.6.2013, p. 19).

² Directive (EU) 2022/2464 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2022 amending Regulation (EU) No 537/2014, Directive 2004/109/EC, Directive 2006/43/EC and Directive 2013/34/EU, as regards corporate sustainability reporting (OJ L 322, 16.12.2022, p. 15).

determining disclosures under ESRS). The information disclosed in accordance with this standard enables **users** of the **sustainability statement** to understand the undertaking's material impacts on people and environment and the material effects of sustainability matters on the undertaking's development, performance and position.

Section 1: LSME General Requirements

6. This section sets out the general requirements that undertakings shall comply with when preparing and presenting individual sustainability statement under article 19a (6) and article 29c of the CSRD. This [draft] Standard establishes sustainability reporting requirements for small and medium sized undertakings that are proportionate and relevant to their capacities and characteristics and to the scale and complexity of their activities.

1. Categories of disclosures

1.1 Complying with [draft] LSME ESRS

7. The undertaking shall disclose, in accordance with this [draft] Standard, all the material information regarding negative impacts and risks in relation to environmental, social, and governance matters. The information shall enable the understanding of the undertaking's negative impacts on those matters and how they affect the undertaking's development, performance and position.
8. The undertaking may disclose:
- (a) the material information regarding positive impacts in relation to environmental, social, and governance matters; .
 - (b) sustainability-related financial opportunities that generate or are likely to generate material financial effects in the short-, medium- or long- term.
9. The undertaking shall present its individual sustainability statement containing material sustainability-related information as part of its management report (see chapter 8 *Structure of sustainability statements*).

1.2 LSME ESRS structure and reporting areas

10. This [Draft] ESRS is composed of the following sections:
- Section 1 *General requirements*;
 - Section 2 *General disclosures*;
 - Section 3 *Policies, actions, engagement and remediation*
 - Section 4 *Environmental disclosures*;
 - Section 5 *Social disclosures*;
 - Section 6 *Business conduct disclosures*.
11. Section 1, Section 2 and Section 3 are cross-cutting sections of this [draft] standard, while Section 4, Section 5 and Section 6 are topical sections of this [draft] standard (Environmental, Social and Governance disclosures). Cross-cutting sections and topical sections are sector-agnostic, meaning that they apply to all undertakings regardless of which sector or sectors the undertaking operates in. The cross-cutting Section 1 (General

- requirements) Section 2 (General disclosures) and Section 3 (Policies, Actions, Targets, Engagement and Remediation) apply to the **sustainability matters** covered by topical sections.
12. A topical section covers a topic and may be structured to cover several sub-topics and/or sub-sub-topics where necessary. These are also referred to as “**sustainability matters**”. Disclosure requirements are organised in accordance with this topical approach. Appendix B *Application Requirements* of this [draft] Standard, paragraph AR 12, presents the list of sustainability matters covered in [draft] topical sections.
 13. Section 2 of this [draft] ESRS establishes information to be mandatorily provided by the undertaking at a general level, across all sustainability topics.
 14. Section 3 includes the following content, that has to be provided for each material topic:
 - (a) Disclosure Requirement 11 (IR 3), Policies and actions in relation to sustainability matters;
 - (b) Disclosure Requirement 12 (IR 4), Targets in relation to sustainability matters;
 - (c) Disclosure Requirement 13 (IR 5), Processes for engaging with own workers, workers in the value chain, affected communities, consumers and end-users, and their representatives about impacts; and
 - (d) Disclosure Requirement 14 (IR 6), Processes to remediate negative impacts and channels for own workers, workers in the value chain, affected communities, consumers and end-users to raise concerns.
 15. The Disclosure Requirements in this [draft] ESRS cover the following reporting areas:
 - (a) **Governance** (GOV): the governance processes, controls and procedures used to monitor and manage **impacts** and **risks** (see Section 2 of this [draft] ESRS, chapter 2 *Governance*);
 - (b) **Strategy** (SBM): how the undertaking’s strategy and business model interact with its material impacts and risks, including how the undertaking addresses those impacts and risks (see Section 2 of this [draft] ESRS, chapter 3 *Strategy*);
 - (c) **Impact and risk management** (IR): the process(es) by which the undertaking: i. identifies impacts and risks and assesses their **materiality**; ii. manages material **sustainability matters** through **policies** and **actions** (see Section 3 of this [draft] ESRS, chapter *impact and risk management*); and
 - (d) **Metrics** (M): how the undertaking measures its performance, with key indicators (see topical section 4, 5 and 6 of this [draft] ESRS).
 16. In addition to the disclosure requirements laid down in the sections described in paragraph 9, when an undertaking concludes that an **impact** or **risk** is not covered or not covered with sufficient granularity by a section of this standard but is material due to its specific facts and circumstances, it shall provide additional entity-specific disclosures to enable users to understand the undertaking’s sustainability-related impacts and risks. Application requirements AR 1 to AR 4 provide further guidance regarding entity-specific disclosures.

1.3 Drafting conventions

17. In this ESRS:
 - (a) the term “**impacts**” refers to negative sustainability-related impacts (positive impacts are disclosed on a voluntary basis) that are connected with the undertaking’s business, as identified through an **impact materiality** assessment process (see section 3.4 Impact materiality). It refers both to actual impacts and to

potential future impacts. In this [draft] ESRS the term “impacts” refers only to negative impacts, unless differently specified.

- (b) The term “**risks**” (disclosure on opportunities is on voluntary basis only) refers to the undertaking’s sustainability-related financial risks, including those deriving from dependencies on natural, human and social resources, as identified through a **financial materiality** assessment process (see section 3.5).

Collectively, these are referred to as “impacts and risks” (IRs). They reflect the **double materiality** perspective of ESRS described in section 3.

18. Throughout ESRS, terms that are defined in the glossary of definitions (Annex II) are put in **bold italic**, except when a defined term is used more than once in the same paragraph.
19. ESRS structure the information to be disclosed under Disclosure Requirements. Each Disclosure Requirement consists of one or more distinct datapoints. The term “datapoint” can also refer to a narrative sub-element of a Disclosure Requirement.
20. In addition to Disclosure Requirements most ESRS also contain Application Requirements. Application Requirements support the application of Disclosure Requirements and have the same authority as other parts of an ESRS.
21. ESRS use the following terms to distinguish between different degrees of obligation on the undertaking to disclose information:
- (a) “shall disclose” – indicates that the provision is prescribed by a Disclosure Requirement or datapoint;
- (b) “may disclose” – indicates voluntary disclosure to encourage good practice.

In addition, ESRS use the term “shall consider” when referring to issues, resources or methodologies that the undertaking is expected to take into account or to use in the preparation of a given disclosure if applicable.

2. Qualitative characteristics of information

22. When preparing its **sustainability statement**, the undertaking shall apply:
- (a) the fundamental qualitative characteristics of information, i.e., relevance and faithful representation; and
- (b) the enhancing qualitative characteristics of information, i.e., comparability, verifiability and understandability.
23. These qualitative characteristics of information are defined and described in Appendix C of this [draft] Standard.

3. Double materiality as the basis for sustainability disclosures

24. The undertaking shall report on **sustainability matters** based on the **double materiality** principle as defined and explained in this chapter.

3.1 Stakeholders and their relevance to the materiality assessment process

25. **Stakeholders** are those who can affect or be affected by the undertaking. There are two main groups of stakeholders:
- (a) affected stakeholders: individuals or groups whose interests are affected or could be affected – positively or negatively – by the undertaking’s activities and its direct and indirect **business relationships** across its value chain; and

- (b) users of **sustainability statements**: primary users of general-purpose financial reporting (existing and potential investors, lenders and other creditors, including asset managers, credit institutions, insurance undertakings), as well as other users, including the undertaking's business partners, trade unions and social partners, civil society and non-governmental organisations, governments, analysts and academics.
26. Some, but not all, **stakeholders** may belong to both groups defined in paragraph 7.
27. To the extent that an undertaking engage with affected **stakeholders**, engagement with them supports the undertaking's sustainability **materiality** assessment. This includes its processes to identify and assess actual and potential negative impacts, which then inform the assessment process to identify the material impacts for the purposes of sustainability reporting (see chapter 3.4 of this [draft] Standard).

3.2 Material matters and materiality of information

28. Performing a **materiality** assessment (see sections 3.4 and 3.5 of this [draft] Standard) is necessary for the undertaking to identify the material **impacts** and **risks** to be reported. When an undertakings reports on its material positive impacts and/or opportunities on a voluntary basis, the materiality assessment will as well cover them.
29. **Materiality** assessment is the starting point for sustainability reporting under ESRS. IR-1 in Section 2 includes general disclosure requirements about the undertaking's process to identify **impacts** and **risks** and assess their materiality. SBM-3 of Section 2 provides general disclosures requirements on the material impacts and risks resulting from the undertaking's materiality assessment.
30. The Application Requirements in Appendix B of this [draft] Standard include the list of **sustainability** matters covered in topical sections of this [draft] ESRS, categorised by topics, sub-topics and sub-sub-topics, to support the **materiality** assessment. Appendix F *Flowchart for determining disclosures to be included* of this [draft] Standard provides an illustrative example of the materiality assessment process described in this section.
31. A sustainability matter is "material" when it meets the criteria defined for **impact materiality** (see section 3.4 of this [draft] Standard) or **financial materiality** (see section 3.5 of this [draft] Standard) or both.
32. Irrespective of the outcome of the materiality assessment, the undertaking shall always disclose the information required by Section 2 of this [draft] ESRS (i.e., all Disclosure Requirements and data points) **See also par. 12** of this Section;
33. When the undertaking concludes that a sustainability matter is material as a result of its **materiality** assessment, it shall:
- (a) disclose information according to the Disclosure Requirements (including Application Requirements) related to that specific sustainability matter in the relevant sections of this [draft] ESRS; and
 - (b) disclose additional appropriate entity-specific disclosures (see chapter 1.2 **LSME ESRS structure and reporting areas** of this section) when the material sustainability matter is not covered by this [draft] ESRS or is covered with insufficient granularity.
34. When reporting on **policies** and **actions** in relation to a sustainability matter that has been assessed to be material, if the undertaking cannot disclose the information prescribed by the Disclosure Requirements in section 3 of this [draft] ESRS (including their datapoints) on policies and actions, because it has not implemented the respective policies and actions, it shall disclose this to be the case and it may report a timeframe in which it aims to have these in place.

35. When disclosing information on **metrics** for a material **sustainability matter** according to the Metrics of the relevant topical sections of this [draft] ESRS, the undertaking:
- (a) shall include the information prescribed by a Disclosure Requirement, if it assesses such information to be material; and
 - (b) may omit the information prescribed by a datapoint of a Disclosure Requirement, if it assesses such information to be not material, and concludes that such information is not needed to meet the objective of the Disclosure Requirement.
36. The applicable information prescribed within a Disclosure Requirement (including its datapoints) or within an entity-specific disclosure shall be included when the undertaking assesses it to be relevant from one or more of the following perspectives in the **sustainability statement**:
- (a) the significance of the information in relation to the matter it purports to depict or explain;
 - (b) the capacity of such information to meet the users' decision-making needs (including the needs of primary users of general-purpose financial reporting described in paragraph 2); or needs of primary users of general-purpose financial reporting described in paragraph 48 and/or the needs of users whose principal interest is in information about the undertaking's impacts.
37. The undertaking shall establish how it applies criteria, including appropriate thresholds, to determine:
- (a) the information to be reported when reporting on **metrics** for a material sustainability matter according to the Metrics paragraph of the relevant topical sections of this [draft] ESRS, per paragraph 6 above; and
 - (b) the information to be disclosed as entity-specific disclosures.
38. If the undertaking concludes that a topic is not material and therefore it omits all the Disclosure Requirements in a topical section of this [draft] ESRS, it may briefly explain the conclusions of its materiality assessment for the topic (see Section 2 of this [draft] ESRS IR-2 *Disclosure Requirements in ESRS covered by the undertaking's sustainability statements*).
39. When reporting on **metrics**, and when disclosing the datapoints that derive from other EU legislation listed in **Appendix B of Section 2**, if the undertaking omits information prescribed by either a Disclosure Requirement or a datapoint of a Disclosure Requirement in the Metrics paragraph of a topical section of this [draft] ESRS, such information is considered to be implicitly reported as "not material for the undertaking".

3.3. Double materiality

40. **Double materiality** has two dimensions, namely: **impact materiality** and **financial materiality**. Unless specified otherwise, the terms "material" and "materiality" are used throughout ESRS to refer to double materiality.
41. **Impact materiality** and **financial materiality** assessments are inter-related and the interdependencies between these two dimensions shall be considered. In general, the starting point is the assessment of impacts, although there may also be material **risks** (and opportunities) that are not related to the undertaking's **impacts**. A sustainability impact may be financially material from inception or become financially material, when it could reasonably be expected to affect the undertaking's financial position, financial performance, cash flows, its access to finance or cost of capital over the short-, medium- or long-term. Impacts are captured by the impact materiality perspective irrespective of whether or not they are financially material.

42. In identifying and assessing the **impacts** and **risks** in the undertaking's **value chain** to determine their **materiality**, the undertaking shall focus on areas where they are deemed likely to arise, based on the nature of the activities, business relationships, geographies or other risk factors concerned.
43. The undertaking shall consider how it is affected by its **dependencies** (if any) on the availability of natural and social resources at appropriate prices and quality, independently of its potential **impacts** on those resources.
44. An undertaking's principal **impacts** and **risks** are understood to be the same as the material impacts and risks identified under the **double materiality** principle and therefore reported on in its **sustainability statement**.
45. The undertaking shall explain how it applies criteria set under sections 3.4 and 3.5 in this section of this [draft] Standard, using appropriate quantitative and or qualitative thresholds. Appropriate thresholds are necessary to determine which **impacts** and **risks** are identified and addressed by the undertaking as material and to determine which **sustainability matters** are material for reporting purposes. Some existing standards and frameworks use the term "most significant impacts" when referring to the threshold used to identify the impacts that are described in ESRS as "material impacts."

3.4 Impact materiality

46. A **sustainability matter** is material from an impact perspective when it pertains to the undertaking's material actual or potential, **impacts** on people or the environment over the short-, medium- and long-term time horizons. Impacts include those connected with the undertaking's own operations and value chain, including through its products and services, as well as through its business relationships. **Business relationships** include those in the undertaking's upstream and downstream **value chain** and are not limited to direct contractual relationships.
47. In this context, impacts on people or the environment include impacts in relation to environmental, social and governance matters.
48. To the extent that the undertaking adopts contents of the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises in its impact management processes, its impact **materiality** assessment is informed by the due diligence³ process. Due diligence is the process by which undertakings identify, prevent, mitigate and account for how they address the actual and potential impacts on the environment and people connected with their business.
49. For actual negative impacts, materiality is based on the severity of the impact, while for potential negative impacts it is based on the severity and likelihood of the impact. Severity is based on:
 - (a) the scale;
 - (b) scope; and
 - (c) irremediable character of the impact.

In the case of a potential negative human rights impact, the severity of the impact takes precedence over its likelihood.

³ This process is described in the international instruments of the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises.

3.5 Financial materiality

50. The scope of **financial materiality** for sustainability reporting is an expansion of the scope of materiality used in the process of determining which information should be included in the undertaking's financial statements.
51. The **financial materiality** assessment described in paragraph 38 includes, but is not limited to, the identification of information that is considered material for primary users of general-purpose financial reporting in making decisions relating to providing resources to the entity. In particular, information is considered material for primary users of general-purpose financial reporting if omitting, misstating or obscuring that information could reasonably be expected to influence decisions that they make on the basis of the undertaking's **sustainability statement**.
52. A sustainability matter is material from a financial perspective if it triggers or could reasonably be expected to trigger material **financial effects** on the undertaking. This is the case when a sustainability matter generates or may generate **risks** that have a material influence, or could reasonably be expected to have a material influence, on the undertaking's development, financial position, financial performance, cash flows, access to finance or cost of capital over the short-, medium- or long-term. Risks may derive from past events or future events. The **financial materiality** of a sustainability matter is not constrained to matters that are within the control of the undertaking but includes information on material **risks** attributable to **business relationships** with other undertakings, including its subsidiaries, or **stakeholders** beyond the scope of financial control.
53. **Dependencies** from natural and social resources can be sources of financial **risks**. Dependencies may trigger effects in two possible ways:
- they may influence the undertaking's ability to continue to use or obtain the resources needed in its business processes, as well as the quality and pricing of those resources; and
 - they may affect the undertaking's ability to rely on relationships needed in its business processes on acceptable terms.
54. The **materiality** of **risks** is assessed based on a combination of the likelihood of occurrence and the potential magnitude of the **financial effects**.

3.6 Material impacts or risks arising from actions to address sustainability matters

55. The undertaking's **materiality** assessment process may lead to the identification of situations in which its **actions** to address certain **impacts** or **risks** in relation to a sustainability matter, might have material negative impacts or cause material risks in relation to one or several other **sustainability matters**. For example:
- an action plan to decarbonise production that involves abandoning certain products might have material negative impacts on the undertaking's **own workforce** and result in material risks due to redundancy payments; or
 - an action plan of an automotive **supplier** to focus on the supply of e-vehicles might lead to stranded assets for the production of supply parts for conventional vehicles.
56. In such situations, the undertaking shall:
- mention the existence of material negative impacts or material risks together with the **actions** that generate them, with a cross-reference to the topic to which the impacts or risks relate; and
 - provide a description of how the material negative impacts or material risks are addressed under the topic to which they relate.

3.7 Level of disaggregation

57. When needed for a proper understanding of its material **impacts** and **risks**, the undertaking shall disaggregate the reported information:
- (a) by country, when there are significant variations of material impacts and risks across countries and when presenting the information at a higher level of aggregation would obscure material information about impacts and risks; or
 - (b) by significant **site** or by significant asset, when material impacts and risks are linked to a specific location or asset.
58. When defining the appropriate level of disaggregation for reporting, the undertaking shall consider the disaggregation adopted in its materiality assessment. Depending on the facts and circumstances, a disaggregation by subsidiary may be necessary.
59. Where data from different levels, or multiple locations within a level, is aggregated, the undertaking shall ensure that this aggregation does not obscure the specificity and context necessary to interpret the information. The undertaking shall not aggregate material items that differ in nature.

If the undertaking presents information disaggregated by sectors, in that case it shall adopt the ESRS sector classification.

4. Value chain

4.1 Reporting undertaking and value chain

60. The information about the reporting undertaking provided in the **sustainability statement** shall be extended to include information on the material **impacts** and **risks** connected to the undertaking through its direct and indirect **business relationships** in the upstream and/or downstream **value chain** (“value chain information”). Investment and other business relationships with subsidiaries of the undertaking are as well sources of material impacts and risks, based on the results of materiality assessment. In extending the information about the reporting undertaking, the undertaking shall include material impacts and risks connected with its upstream and downstream value chain(s):
- (a) following the outcome of its due diligence process and of its **materiality** assessment, which is informed by its sustainability due diligence process(es) to the extent that the undertaking has implemented contents of the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises in its impact management processes; and
 - (b) in accordance with specific requirements related to the value chain of topical sections of this [draft] ESRS, when they exist.
61. The extension of the information about the reporting undertaking to include value chain information does not require information on each and every **actor in the value chain**, but the inclusion of material **value chain** information.
62. The undertaking shall include material value chain information when this is necessary to:
- (a) allow users of **sustainability statements** to understand the undertaking’s material **impacts** and **risks**; and/or
 - (b) produce a set of information that meets the qualitative characteristics of information (see Appendix C of this [draft] Standard).
63. When determining at which level (within its own operations and its upstream and downstream value chain) a material sustainability matter arises, the undertaking shall use

its assessment of **impacts** and **risks** following the **double materiality** principle (see chapter 3 of this [draft] Standard).

64. When associates or joint ventures, accounted for under the equity method or proportionally consolidated in the financial statements, are part of the undertaking's value chain, the undertaking may include information related to those undertakings, following paragraph 4, consistent with the approach adopted for the other **business relationships** in the value chain. In this case, when determining impact **metrics**, the data of the associate or joint venture are not limited to the share of equity held, but taken into account on the basis of the impacts that are directly linked to the undertaking's products and services through its business relationships.

4.2 Estimation using sector averages and proxies

65. The undertaking's ability to obtain the necessary **value chain** information may vary depending on various factors, such as the undertaking's contractual arrangements, the level of control that it exercises on the operations outside the consolidation scope and its buying power. When the undertaking does not have the ability to control the activities of its value chain and its business relationships, obtaining value chain information may be more challenging.
66. There are circumstances where the undertaking cannot collect the information about the undertaking's upstream and downstream **value chain** as required by paragraph 64 (after making reasonable efforts to do so). In these circumstances, the undertaking shall estimate the information to be reported about its upstream and downstream value chain, by using all reasonable and supportable information, such as sector-average data and other proxies.
67. Obtaining **value chain** information could also be challenging in the case of SMEs and other value chain entities that are not in the scope of the CSRD.
68. With reference to **policies** and **actions**, the undertaking's reporting shall include **value chain** information to the extent that those policies and actions do involve **actors in the value chain**. With reference to **metrics**, in many cases, in particular for environmental matters for which proxies are available, the undertaking may be able to comply with the reporting requirements without collecting data from the actors in its value chain, for example, when calculating the undertaking's GHG Scope 3 emissions.
69. The incorporation of estimates made using sector-average data or other proxies shall not result in information that does not meet the qualitative characteristics of information (see chapter 2 and section 6.2 *Sources of estimation and outcome uncertainty* of this [draft] Standard).
70. One way through which the undertaking can demonstrate reasonable effort in collecting data from actors in its value chain(s), is by using or increasing leverage over them, e.g., through collaboration with other companies and stakeholders that could help to do so.

5. Time horizons

5.1 Reporting period

71. The reporting period for the undertaking's **sustainability statement** shall be consistent with that of its financial statements.

5.2 Linking past, present and future

72. The undertaking shall establish appropriate linkages in its **sustainability statement** between retrospective and forward-looking information, when relevant, to foster a clear understanding of how historical information relates to future-oriented information.

5.3 Reporting progress against the base year

73. A base year is the historical reference date or period for which information is available and against which subsequent information can be compared over time.
74. The undertaking shall present comparative information in respect of the base year for amounts reported in the current period when reporting the developments and progress towards a target, unless the relevant Disclosure Requirement already defines how to report progress. The undertaking may also include historical information about achieved milestones between the base year and the reporting period when this is relevant information.

5.4 Definition of short-, medium- and long-term for reporting purposes

75. When preparing its individual **sustainability statement**, the undertaking shall adopt the following time intervals as of the end of the reporting period:
- (a) for the short-term time horizon: the period adopted by the undertaking as the reporting period in its financial statements;
 - (b) for the medium-term time horizon: from the end of the short-term reporting period per (a) above to five years; and
 - (c) for the long-term time horizon: more than five years.
76. The undertaking shall use an additional breakdown for the long-term time horizon when **impacts** or **actions** are expected in a period longer than five years if necessary to provide relevant information to users of **sustainability statements**.
77. If different definitions of medium- or long-term time horizons are required for specific items of disclosure in a topical section of this [draft] ESRS, the definitions in the topical section prevail.
78. There may be circumstances where the use of the medium- or long-term time horizons in paragraph 79 results in non-relevant information, as the undertaking uses a different definition for (i) its processes of identification and management of material **impacts** and **risks** or (ii) the definition of its **actions**. These circumstances may be due to industry-specific characteristics, such as cash flow and business cycles, the expected duration of capital investments, the time horizons over which the users of **sustainability statements** conduct their assessments and the planning horizons typically used in the undertaking's industry for decision-making. In these circumstances, the undertaking may adopt a different definition of medium- and/or long-term time horizons (see [draft] Section 2 BP–2).

6. Preparation and presentation of sustainability information

79. This chapter provides general requirements to be applied when preparing and presenting sustainability information.

6.1 Presenting comparative information

80. The undertaking shall disclose comparative information in respect of the previous period for all **metrics** disclosed in the current period. When such information would be relevant to an understanding of the current period's **sustainability statement**, the undertaking shall also disclose comparative information for narrative sustainability disclosures.
81. In its sustainability statements the undertaking shall disclose comparative information that reflects updated estimates. When the undertaking reports comparative information that differs from the information reported in the previous period it shall disclose, with reasonable effort:
- (a) the difference between the **figure** reported in the previous period and the revised comparative **figure**; and
 - (b) the reasons for the revision of the **figure**.
82. Sometimes, it is not possible with reasonable effort to adjust comparative information for one or more prior periods to achieve comparability with the current period. For example, data might not have been collected in the prior period(s) in a way that allows either retrospective application of a new definition of a metric or target, or retrospective restatement to correct a prior period error, and it may be impracticable to recreate the information (see Section 2 of this [draft] ESRS BP-2). When it is not possible with reasonable effort to adjust comparative information for one or more prior periods, the undertaking shall disclose this fact.
83. When a topical section of this [draft] ESRS requires the undertaking to present more than one comparative period for a metric or datapoint, the requirements of that section shall prevail.

6.2 Sources of estimation and outcome uncertainty

84. When **metrics**, including **value chain** information (see chapter 4 of this [draft] section), cannot be measured directly and can only be estimated, measurement uncertainty may arise.
85. The use of reasonable assumptions and estimates, including **scenario**, is an essential part of preparing sustainability-related **metrics** and does not undermine the usefulness of the information, provided that the assumptions and estimates are accurately described and explained. Even a high level of measurement uncertainty would not necessarily prevent such an assumption or estimate from providing useful information or meeting the qualitative characteristics of information (see Appendix C of this [draft] Standard).
86. When **sustainability statement** include or are related to financial data and assumptions, such data and assumptions shall be consistent to the extent possible with the corresponding financial data and assumptions used in the undertaking's financial statements.
87. Some topical section of this [draft] ESRS requires the disclosure of information such as explanations about possible future events that have uncertain outcomes. In judging whether information about such possible future events is material, the undertaking shall refer to the criteria in Chapter 3 of this section and consider:
- (a) the potential **financial effects** of the events (the possible outcome);
 - (b) the severity and likelihood of the impacts on people or the environment resulting from the possible events, taking account of the factors of severity specified in paragraph 51; and
 - (c) the full range of possible outcomes and the likelihood of the possible outcomes within that range.

88. When assessing the possible outcomes, the undertaking shall consider all relevant facts and circumstances, including information about low-probability and high-impact outcomes, which, when aggregated, could become material. For example, the undertaking might be exposed to several impacts or risks, each of which could cause the same type of disruption; such as disruptions to the undertaking's **supply chain**. Information about an individual source of risk might not be material if disruption from that source is highly unlikely to occur. However, information about the aggregate risk of **supply chain** disruption from all sources might be material (see Section 2 BP-2).

6.3 Updating disclosures about events after the end of the reporting period

89. In some cases, the undertaking may receive information after the reporting period but before the management report is approved for issuance. If such information provides evidence or insights about conditions existing at period end, the undertaking shall consider it and, where appropriate, update estimates and sustainability disclosures, in the light of the new information.
90. When such information provides evidence or insights about conditions that arise after the end of the reporting period, the undertaking shall provide narrative information indicating the existence, nature and potential consequences of these post-year end events.

6.4 Changes in preparation or presentation of sustainability information

91. The definition and calculation of **metrics**, including metrics used to set and monitor **targets** (only in case targets have been specifically set by the undertaking), shall be consistent over time. If a metric or target is redefined or replaced, the undertaking shall provide restated comparative figures, unless it is not possible with reasonable effort to do so (see Section 2 BP-2).

6.5 Reporting errors in prior periods

92. The undertaking shall correct material prior period errors by restating the comparative amounts for the prior period(s) disclosed, unless it is not possible with reasonable effort to do so. This requirement does not extend to reporting periods before the first year of application of ESRS by the undertaking.
93. Prior period errors are omissions from, and misstatements in, the undertaking's **sustainability statement** for one or more prior periods. Such errors arise from a failure to use, or misuse of, reliable information that:
- was available when the management report that includes the sustainability statements for those periods was authorised for issuance; and
 - could reasonably be expected to have been obtained and considered in the preparation of sustainability disclosures included in these reports.
94. Such errors include: the effects of mathematical mistakes, mistakes in applying the definitions for **metrics**, oversights or misinterpretations of facts, and fraud.
95. Potential errors in the current period discovered in that period are corrected before the management report is authorised for issuance. However, material errors are sometimes only discovered in a subsequent period.
96. When it is not possible with reasonable effort to determine the effect of an error on all prior periods presented, the undertaking shall restate the comparative information to correct the error from the earliest date practicable. When correcting disclosures for a prior period, the undertaking shall not use hindsight either in making assumptions about what the management's intentions would have been in a prior period or in estimating the amounts

disclosed in a prior period. This requirement applies to correction of both backward-looking and forward-looking disclosures.

97. Corrections of errors are distinguished from changes in estimates. Estimates are to be revised as soon as additional information becomes available (see Section 22 BP-2).

6.6 Classified and sensitive information, and information on intellectual property, know-how or results of innovation

98. The undertaking is not required to disclose **classified information** or **sensitive information**, even if such information is considered material.
99. When disclosing information about its **strategy, plans** and **actions**, where a specific piece of information corresponding to intellectual property, know-how or the results of innovation is relevant to meet the objective of a Disclosure Requirement, the undertaking may nevertheless omit that specific piece of information if it:
- (a) is secret in the sense that it is not, as a body or in the precise configuration and assembly of its components, generally known among or readily accessible to persons within the circles that normally deal with the kind of information in question;
 - (b) has commercial value because it is secret; and
 - (c) has been subject to reasonable steps by the undertaking to keep it secret.
100. If the undertaking omits **classified information** or **sensitive information**, or a specific piece of information corresponding to intellectual property, know-how or the results of innovation because it meets the criteria established in the previous paragraph, it shall comply with the disclosure requirement in question by disclosing all other required information.
101. The undertaking shall make every reasonable effort to ensure that beyond the omission of the specific **classified information** or **sensitive information**, or of the specific piece of information corresponding to intellectual property, know-how or the results of innovation, the overall relevance of the disclosure is not impaired.

7. Structure of sustainability statements

102. This chapter provides the basis for the presentation of the information about **sustainability matters** prepared in compliance with the CSRD and the [draft] ESRS (i.e., **sustainability statement**) within the undertaking's management report. Such information is presented in a dedicated section of the management report identified as the sustainability statements. Appendix G *Example of structure of ESRS sustainability statements* of this [draft] Standard provides an illustrative example of sustainability report structured according to the requirements of this chapter.

7.1 General presentation requirement

103. Sustainability information shall be presented:
- (a) in a way that allows a distinction between information required by disclosures in [draft] ESRS and other information included in the management report; and
 - (b) under a structure that facilitates access to and understanding of the **sustainability statements**, both in human and machine-readable formats.

7.2 Content and structure of the sustainability statements

104. Except for the possibility to incorporate information by reference in section 9.1 *Incorporation by reference* of this section, the undertaking shall report all the applicable disclosures (sector-agnostic and entity-specific) required by this [draft] ESRS as per chapter 1 of this section, within a single section of the management report.
105. The undertaking shall report, in its **sustainability statement**, the disclosures pursuant to Article 8 of Regulation (EU) 2020/852 of the European Parliament and the Council⁴ and Commission Delegated Regulations that specify the content of those disclosures. The undertaking shall ensure that these disclosures are separately identifiable within the sustainability statement. The disclosures relating to each of the environmental objectives defined in the Taxonomy Regulation shall be presented together in a clearly identifiable part of the environmental section of the sustainability statement. These disclosures are not subject to the qualitative characteristics of information defined in Appendix B of this Standard.
106. When the undertaking includes in its individual **sustainability statement** additional disclosures stemming from (i) other legislation which requires the undertaking to disclose sustainability information, or (ii) generally accepted sustainability reporting standards and frameworks, including non-mandatory guidance and sector-specific guidance, published by other standard-setting bodies (such as technical material issued by the International Sustainability Standards Board or the Global Reporting Initiative), such disclosures shall:
- (a) be clearly identified with an appropriate reference to the related legislation, standard or framework (see ESRS 2 BP-2, paragraph 15);
 - (b) meet the requirements for qualitative characteristics of information specified in chapter 2 and Appendix B of this standard.
107. The undertaking shall structure its **sustainability statement** in four parts: general information, environmental information, social information and governance information in the order prescribed in Appendix E *Structure of ESRS sustainability statements* of this [draft] Standard. Respecting the provision in section 3.6 *Material impacts or risks arising from actions to address sustainability matters* of this [draft] Standard, when information provided in one part is also covering information to be reported in another part, the undertaking may refer in one part to information presented in another part, avoiding duplications. The undertaking may apply the detailed structure illustrated in Appendix G of this [draft] Standard.
108. Where the undertaking develops material entity-specific disclosures in accordance with section Error! Reference source not found., it shall report those disclosures alongside the most relevant disclosure in this [draft] ESRS.

8. Linkages with other parts of corporate reporting and connected information

109. The undertaking shall provide information that enables users of its **sustainability statements** to assess the connections between various information about **impacts** and **risks** in these statements and related information in other parts of its corporate reporting.

8.1 Incorporation by reference

110. Provided that the conditions in paragraph 118 are met, information prescribed by a Disclosure Requirement of this [draft] ESRS (including a specific datapoint prescribed by

⁴ Regulation (EU) 2020/852 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 June 2020 on the establishment of a framework to facilitate sustainable investment, and amending Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 (OJ L 198, 22.6.2020, p. 13).

- a Disclosure Requirement) may be incorporated in the **sustainability statement** by reference to:
- (a) another section of the management report;
 - (b) the financial statements;
 - (c) the corporate governance **statement** (if not part of the management report);
 - (d) the remuneration report required by **Directive 2007/36/EC** of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵;
 - (e) the universal registration document, as referred to in Article 9 of Regulation 2017/1128; and
 - (f) public disclosures under Regulation 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council (Pillar 3 disclosures)⁶. If the undertaking incorporates by reference information from Pillar 3 disclosures, it shall ensure that the information matches the scope of consolidation used for the **sustainability statement** by complementing the incorporated information with additional elements as necessary.
111. The undertaking may incorporate information by reference to the documents (or part of the documents) listed in paragraph 117, provided that the disclosures incorporated by reference:
- (a) constitute a separate element of information clearly identified as addressing the relevant Disclosure Requirement (or the relevant specific datapoint prescribed by a Disclosure Requirement) in such other document (as per paragraph 120110 (a) to (e) respectively);
 - (b) are published at the same time as the management report;
 - (c) are in the same language as the **sustainability statement**;
 - (d) are subject to at least the same level of assurance as the sustainability statements; and
 - (e) meet the same technical digitalisation requirements as the sustainability statements.
112. Provided that these conditions are met, information prescribed by a Disclosure Requirement of this [draft] ESRS (including a specific datapoint prescribed by a Disclosure Requirement) may be incorporated in the **sustainability statement** by reference to the undertaking's report prepared according to EU Eco-Management and Audit Scheme (EMAS) Regulation (EU) No. 1221/2009. In this case, the undertaking shall ensure that the information incorporated by reference is produced using the same basis for preparation of ESRS information, including scope of consolidation and treatment of **value chain** information.
113. In the preparation of its **sustainability statement** using incorporation by reference, the undertaking shall consider the overall cohesiveness of the reported information and ensure that the incorporation by reference does not impair the readability of the sustainability statement. Appendix H *Example of incorporation by reference* of this [draft] Standard is an illustrative example of incorporation by reference (See Section 2 BP-2).

⁵ Directive 2007/36/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 July 2007 on the exercise of certain rights of shareholders in listed companies (OJ L 184, 14.7.2007, p. 17).

⁶ Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms and amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 (OJ L 176, 27.6.2013, p. 1).

8.2 Connected information and connectivity with financial statements

114. The undertaking shall describe the relationships between different pieces of information. Doing so could require connecting narrative information on governance, strategy and risk management to related **metrics**. For example, to allow users to assess connections in information, the undertaking might need to explain the effect or likely effect of its sustainability strategy on its financial statements or financial plans, or on metrics used to measure performance. Furthermore, the undertaking might need to explain how its use of **natural resources** and changes within its **supply chain** could amplify, change or reduce its material **impacts** and **risks**. It may need to link this information to the potential or actual effect(s) on its production costs, its strategic response to mitigate such impacts or risks and its related investment in new assets. This information may also need to be linked to information in the financial statements and to specific metrics. Information that describes connections shall be clear and concise.
115. When the individual **sustainability statement** include monetary amounts or other quantitative data points that are above a threshold for material information and are directly presented in financial statements, the undertaking shall include a reference to the relevant paragraph of its financial statements where the corresponding information can be found.
116. The individual **sustainability statement** may include monetary amounts or other quantitative datapoints that exceed a threshold of materiality and that are either an aggregation of, or a part of, monetary amounts or quantitative data presented in the undertaking's financial statements (indirect connectivity between information disclosed in sustainability statement and information disclosed in financial statements). If this is the case, the undertaking shall explain how these amounts or datapoints in the sustainability statement relate to the most relevant amounts presented in the financial statements. This disclosure shall include a reference to the line item and/or to the relevant paragraphs of its financial statements where the corresponding information can be found. Where appropriate, a reconciliation may be provided, and it may be presented in a tabular form.
117. In the case of information not covered by paragraphs 119 and 120, the undertaking shall explain, based on a threshold of materiality, the consistency of significant data, assumptions, and qualitative information included in its individual **sustainability statement** with the corresponding data, assumptions and qualitative information included in the financial statements. This may occur when the sustainability statement includes:
- (a) monetary amounts or other quantitative data linked to monetary amounts or other quantitative data presented in the financial statements; or
 - (b) qualitative information linked to qualitative information presented in the financial statements.
118. Consistency as required by paragraph 121 shall be at the level of a single datapoint and shall include a reference to the relevant line item / paragraph of notes of the financial statements. When significant data, assumptions and qualitative information are not consistent, the undertaking shall state that fact and explain the reason.
119. Examples of items for which the statement in paragraph 124 subject to the threshold for material information, is required, are:
- (a) when the same metric is presented as of the reporting date in financial statements and as a forecast for future periods in the **sustainability statement**, and
 - (b) when macroeconomic or business projections are used to develop **metrics** in the **sustainability statement** and they are also relevant in estimating the recoverable amount of assets, the amount of liabilities or provisions in financial statements.
120. Toical section of this [Draft] ESRS may include requirements to include reconciliations or to illustrate consistency of data and assumptions for specific Disclosure Requirements. In such cases, the requirements in these [draft] ESRS shall prevail.

9. Transitional provisions

9.1. Transitional provision related to section 1.2 LSME ESRS structure and reporting areas

121. The extent to which **sustainability matters** are covered by [draft] ESRS is expected to evolve as further Disclosure Requirements are developed. Therefore, the need for entity-specific disclosures is likely to decrease over time.
122. When defining its entity-specific disclosures, the undertaking may adopt transitional measures for their preparation in the first three annual **sustainability statements** under which it shall as a priority:
- (a) introduce in its reporting those entity-specific disclosures that it reported in prior periods, if these disclosures meet or are adapted to meet the qualitative characteristics of information referred to under chapter 2 of this [draft] Standard; and
 - (b) complement its disclosures, prepared on the basis of the [draft] topical ESRS, with an appropriate set of additional disclosures to cover **sustainability matters** that are material for the undertaking in its sector(s), using the available best practice and/or available frameworks or reporting standards [such as Appendix B for IFRS S2 (industry-specific climate standard) and GRI Sector Standards].

9.2 Transitional provision related to chapter 4 Value chain

123. For the first three years of the undertaking's sustainability reporting under the [draft] ESRS, if some of the necessary information regarding the **value chain** is not available, the undertaking shall explain the efforts made to obtain the value chain information, the reasons why this information could not be obtained, and the plans of the undertaking to obtain such information in the future. Regardless of these limitations, the undertaking is expected to use in-house available value chain information (such as data already available to the undertaking and publicly available information) when applying chapter 5 of this [draft] Standard in the first three years.
124. For the first three years of its sustainability reporting under the [draft] ESRS, in order to limit the burden for SMEs that are a part of the **value chain**:
- (a) the undertaking is not required to include information on **impacts** and **risks** connected with its upstream and/or downstream value chain (as required by paragraph 60), except for the datapoints listed in [draft] ESRS 2 Appendix C; and
 - (b) the undertaking may limit to value chain information available in-house the information included on impacts and risks **(and opportunities on voluntary basis)** connected with its upstream and/or downstream value chain (as required by paragraph 66), when applying the [draft] Section 2 and the Disclosure Requirements on policies and actions in all the [draft] topical sections.
125. Starting from the fourth year of its reporting under the [draft] ESRS, the undertaking shall include **value chain** information according to paragraph 60. In this context, the information required by [draft] ESRS to be obtained from SME undertakings in the undertaking's value chain will not exceed the content of the future ESRS for listed SMEs.

9.3 Transitional provision related to section 6.1 Presenting comparative information

126. To ease the first-time application of this [draft] Standard, the undertaking is not required to disclose the comparative information required by section 6.1 *Presenting comparative*

information in the first year of preparation of the **sustainability statement** under the ESRS.

9.4 Transitional provision: List of Disclosure Requirements that are phased-in for [draft] ESRS to year 2 or subsequent years

127. Appendix D *List of phased-in Disclosure Requirements* in this [draft] Standard sets phase-in provisions for the Disclosure Requirements or datapoints of Disclosure Requirements in topical [draft] ESRS that may be omitted or that are not applicable in the first year(s) of preparation of the **sustainability statement** under the [draft] ESRS.

DRAFT

**Defined terms [THIS APPENDIX WILL BE MOVED TO A
CENTRAL GLOSSARY AS PER THE DRAFT DELEGATED
ACT]**

DRAFT

Appendix A: Application Requirements

This appendix is an integral part of this [draft] ESRS and has the same authority as the other parts of the [draft] Standard.

Application requirements – Entity specific disclosures

- AR 1. The entity-specific disclosures shall enable **users** to understand the undertaking's **impacts** and **risks** in relation to environmental, social or governance matters.
- AR 2. When developing entity-specific disclosures, the undertaking shall ensure that:
- (a) the disclosures meet the qualitative characteristics of information as set out in chapter 2 Qualitative characteristics of information; and
 - (b) its disclosures include, where applicable, all material information related to the reporting areas of governance; strategy; **impact** and **risk** management and **metrics** (see Section 2 chapters 2 to 5).
- AR 3. When determining the usefulness of **metrics** for inclusion in its entity-specific disclosures, the undertaking shall consider whether:
- (a) its chosen performance metrics provide insight into:
 - i. how effective its practices are in reducing negative outcomes for people and the environment (for impacts); and/or
 - ii. the likelihood that its practices result in **financial effects** on the undertaking (for **risks**);
 - (b) the measured outcomes are sufficiently reliable, meaning that they do not involve an excessive number of assumptions and unknowns that would render the metrics too arbitrary to provide a faithful representation; and
 - (c) it has provided sufficient contextual information to interpret performance metrics appropriately.
- AR 4. When developing its entity-specific disclosures, the undertaking shall carefully consider:
- (a) comparability between undertakings, while still ensuring relevance of the information provided, recognising that comparability may be limited for entity-specific disclosures; and
 - (b) comparability over time: consistency of methodologies and disclosures is a key factor for achieving comparability over time.

3.3 Application requirements – Double materiality

Stakeholders and their relevance to the materiality assessment process

- AR 5. In addition to the categories of stakeholder listed in paragraph 25, common categories of **stakeholders** are: **employees** and other workers, **suppliers**, **consumers**, customers, end-users, local communities and persons in vulnerable situations, and public authorities (including regulators, supervisors and central banks).
- AR 6. Nature may be considered as a silent **stakeholder**. In this case, ecological data and data on the conservation of species may support the undertaking's **materiality** assessment.
- AR 7. When the undertaking has engages with affected stakeholders, **materiality** assessment is informed by the dialogue with them. The undertaking may engage with affected **stakeholders** or their representatives (such as **employees** or trade unions), along with

users of sustainability reporting and other experts, to provide inputs or feedback on its conclusions regarding its material **impacts** and **risks**.

Assessment of impact materiality

AR 8. In assessing **impact materiality** and determining the material matters to be reported, the undertaking shall consider the following four steps:

- (a) understanding of the context in relation to its impacts including its activities, business relationships, and **stakeholders**;
- (b) identification of actual and potential impacts, through engaging with relevant **stakeholders** and experts. In this step, the undertaking may rely on scientific and analytical research on impacts on **sustainability matters**;
- (c) assessment of the materiality of its actual and potential impacts; and
- (d) determination of the material matters. In this step, the undertaking shall adopt thresholds to determine which of the impacts will be covered in its **sustainability statement**.

Characteristics of severity

AR 9. The severity is determined by the following factors:

- (a) scale: how grave the negative impact is for people or the environment;
- (b) scope: how widespread the negative impacts are. In the case of environmental impacts, the scope may be understood as the extent of environmental damage or a geographical perimeter. In the case of impacts on people, the scope may be understood as the number of people adversely affected; and
- (c) irremediable character: whether and to what extent the negative impacts could be remediated, i.e., restoring the environment or affected people to their prior state.

AR 10. Any of the three characteristics (scale, scope, and irremediable character) can make a negative impact severe. In the case of a potential negative human rights impact, the severity of the impact takes precedence over its likelihood.

Impacts connected with the undertaking

AR 11. As an illustration:

- (a) if the undertaking uses cobalt in its products that is mined using child labour, the negative impact (i.e., child labour) is **connected with** the undertaking's products through the tiers of **business relationships** in its value chain. These relationships include the smelter and minerals trader and the mining enterprise that uses child labour; and
- (b) if the undertaking provides financial loans to an enterprise for business activities that, in breach of agreed standards, result in the contamination of water and land surrounding the operations, this negative impact is **connected with** the undertaking through its relationship with the enterprise it provides the loans to.

Assessment of financial materiality

AR 12. The following are examples of how impacts and **dependencies** are sources of **risks**:

- (a) when the undertaking's **business model** depends, on a natural resource – for example water – it is likely to be affected by changes in the quality, availability and pricing of that resource;

- (b) when the undertaking's activities result in negative impacts, e.g., on local communities, the activities could become subject to stricter government regulation and/or the impact could trigger consequences of a reputational nature. These have negative effects on the undertaking's brand and higher recruitment costs might arise; and
 - (c) when the undertaking's business partners face material sustainability-related risks, the undertaking could be exposed to related consequences as well.
- AR 13. The identification of **risks** that have a material influence, or could reasonably be expected to have a material influence, on the undertaking's financial position, financial performance, cash flows, access to finance or cost of capital over the short-, medium- or long-terms is the starting point for **financial materiality** assessment. In this context, the undertaking shall consider:
- (a) the existence of **dependencies** from natural and social resources as sources of **financial effects** (see paragraph 52 of this section);
 - (b) their classification as sources of risks (contributing to negative deviation in future expected cash inflows or increase in deviation in future expected cash outflows and/or negative deviation from an expected change in capitals not recognised in the financial statements).
- AR 14. Once the undertaking has identified its **risks**, it shall determine which of them are material for reporting. This shall be based on a combination of (i) the likelihood of occurrence and (ii) the potential size of **financial effects** determined on the basis of appropriate thresholds. In this step it shall consider the contribution of those risks to financial effects in the short-, medium- and long-term time horizons based on:
- (a) **scenarios/forecasts** that are deemed likely to materialise; and
 - (b) potential financial effects related to **sustainability matters** deriving either from situations with a below the "more likely than not" threshold or assets/liabilities not (or not yet) reflected in financial statements. This includes:
 - i. potential situations that following the occurrence of future events may affect cash flow generation potential;
 - ii. capitals that are not recognised as assets from an accounting and financial reporting perspective but have a significant influence on financial performance, such as natural, intellectual (organisational), human, social and relationship capitals; and
 - iii. possible future events that may have an influence on the evolution of such capitals.

Sustainability matters to be included in the materiality assessment

- AR 15. When performing its **materiality** assessment, the undertaking shall consider the following list of **sustainability matters** covered in the topical sections of [draft] ESRS. When, as a result of the undertaking's materiality assessment (see Section 2 IR-1), a given sustainability matter in this list is assessed to be material, the undertaking shall report according to the corresponding Disclosure Requirements of the relevant topical sections. Using this list is not a substitute for the process of determining material matters. This list is a tool to support the undertaking's materiality assessment. The undertaking still needs to consider its own specific circumstances when determining its material matters. The undertaking also shall develop entity-specific disclosures on material **impacts** and **risks** not covered by this [draft] ESRS as described in section 1.4 of this section.

[Draft] topical ESRS	Sustainability matters covered in [draft] topical ESRS		
	Topic	Sub-topic	Sub-sub-topics
[draft] ESRS E1	Climate change ⁷	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Climate change adaptation Climate change mitigation Energy 	
[draft] ESRS E2	Pollution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pollution of air Pollution of water Pollution of soil Pollution of living organisms and food resources Substances of concern Substances of very high concern 	
[draft] ESRS E3	Water and marine resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Water Marine resources 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Water consumption Water withdrawals Water discharges Water discharges in the oceans Extraction and use of marine resources
[draft] ESRS E4	Biodiversity and ecosystems	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Direct impact drivers of biodiversity loss 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Climate Change Land-use change, fresh water-use change and sea-use change Direct exploitation Invasive alien species Pollution Others
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts on the state of species 	Examples: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Species population size Species global extinction risk
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts on the extent and condition of ecosystems 	Examples: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Land degradation Desertification Soil sealing
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts and dependencies on ecosystem services 	
[draft] ESRS E5	Circular economy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resources inflows, including resource use Resource outflows related to products and services Waste 	

[Draft] topical ESRS	Sustainability matters covered in [draft] topical ESRS		
	Topic	Sub-topic	Sub-sub-topics
[draft] ESRS S1	Own workforce	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Working conditions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Secure employment • Working time • Adequate wages • Social dialogue • Freedom of association, the existence of works councils and the information, consultation and participation rights of workers • Collective bargaining, including rate of workers covered by collective agreements • Work-life balance • Health and safety
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Equal treatment and opportunities for all 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gender equality and equal pay for work of equal value • Training and skills development • Employment and inclusion of persons with disabilities • Measures against violence and harassment in the workplace • Diversity
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other work-related rights 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Child labour • Forced labour • Adequate housing • Privacy
[draft] ESRS S2	Workers in the value chain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Working conditions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Secure employment • Working time • Adequate wages • Social dialogue • Freedom of association, including the existence of work councils • Collective bargaining • Work-life balance • Health and safety
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Equal treatment and opportunities for all 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gender equality and equal pay for work of equal value • Training and skills development • The employment and inclusion of persons with disabilities • Measures against violence and harassment in the workplace

⁷ The undertaking shall include all the information prescribed in ESRS E1 irrespective of the outcome of the materiality assessment.

[Draft] topical ESRS	Sustainability matters covered in [draft] topical ESRS		
	Topic	Sub-topic	Sub-sub-topics
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diversity
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other work-related rights 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Child labour • Forced labour • Adequate housing • Water and sanitation • Privacy
[draft] ESRS S3	Affected communities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communities' economic, social and cultural rights 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequate housing • Adequate food • Water and sanitation • Land-related impacts • Security-related impacts
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communities' civil and political rights 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Freedom of expression • Freedom of assembly • Impacts on human rights defenders
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rights of indigenous communities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Free, prior and informed consent • Self-determination • Cultural rights
[draft] ESRS S4	Consumers and end-users	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-related impacts for consumers and/or end-users 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Privacy • Freedom of expression • Access to (quality) information
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Personal safety of consumers and/or end-users 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Health and safety • Security of a person • Protection of children
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Social inclusion of consumers and/or end-users 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-discrimination • Access to products and services • Responsible marketing practices
[draft] ESRS G1	Business conduct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corporate culture • Protection of whistle-blowers • Animal welfare • Political engagement • Management of relationships with suppliers including payment practices 	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corruption and bribery 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prevention and detection including training • Incidents

5.2 Application requirements – Estimation using sector averages and proxies

- AR 16. When collecting **value chain** information as required by paragraph 63 is impracticable, the undertaking shall estimate the information to be reported using all reasonable and supportable information. This includes, but is not limited to, internal and external information, such as data from indirect sources, sector-average data, sample analyses, market and peer groups data, other proxies or spend-based data.

DRAFT

Appendix B: Qualitative characteristics of information

This appendix is an integral part of this section of this [draft] ESRS and has the same authority as the other parts of the [draft] Standard.

This appendix states the qualitative characteristics that the information presented in sustainability statements prepared according to [draft] ESRS shall meet.

Relevance

- QC 1. Sustainability information is relevant when it may make a difference in the decisions of users under a **double materiality** approach (see chapter 3 of this [draft] Standard).
- QC 2. Information may make a difference in a decision even if some users choose not to take advantage of it or are already aware of it from other sources. Sustainability information may impact decisions of users if it has predictive value, confirmatory value or both. Information has predictive value if it can be used as an input to processes employed by users to predict future outcomes. Sustainability information does not need to be a prediction or forecast to have predictive value, but rather has predictive value if employed by users in making their own predictions.
- QC 3. Information has confirmatory value if it provides feedback about (confirms or changes) previous evaluations.
- QC 4. Materiality is an entity-specific aspect of relevance based on the nature or magnitude, or both, of the items to which the information relates, as assessed in the context of the undertaking's sustainability reporting (see chapter 3 of this [draft] Standard).

Faithful representation

- QC 5. To be useful, the information must not only represent relevant phenomena, it must also faithfully represent the substance of the phenomena that it purports to represent. Faithful representation requires information to be (i) complete, (ii) neutral and (iii) free from error.
- QC 6. A complete depiction of an impact, a risk **(or an opportunity on a voluntary basis)** includes all material information necessary for the users to understand that impact, risk or opportunity. This includes how the undertaking has adapted its strategy, risk management and governance in response to that impact, risk **(or opportunity on a voluntary basis)**, as well as the **metrics** identified to set **targets** and measure performance.
- QC 7. A neutral depiction is without bias in its selection or disclosure of information. Information is neutral if it is not slanted, weighted, emphasised, de-emphasised or otherwise manipulated to make it more likely that the users will receive that information favourably or unfavourably. Both negative and positive material impacts from an **impact materiality** perspective as well as material **risks** and opportunities from a **financial materiality** perspective shall receive equal attention.. **It shall be balanced, so as to cover favourable/positive and unfavourable/negative aspects. Any aspirational sustainability information, for example targets or plans shall cover both aspirations and factors that could prevent the undertaking from achieving these aspirations in order to have a neutral depiction.**
- QC 8. Neutrality is supported by the exercise of prudence which is the exercise of caution when making judgements under conditions of uncertainty. Information shall not be netted or compensated to be neutral. The exercise of prudence means that opportunities are not overstated and risks are not understated. Equally, the exercise of prudence does not allow for the overstatement of risks **(or the understatement of opportunities disclosed on a voluntary basis)**. The undertaking may present net information, if its addition to absolute values, if such presentation does not obscure relevant information and includes a clear explanation about the effects of the netting and the reasons for the netting.

- QC 9. Information can be accurate without being perfectly precise in all respects. Accurate information implies that the undertaking has implemented adequate processes and internal controls to avoid material errors or material misstatements. As such, estimates shall be presented with a clear emphasis on their possible limitations and associated uncertainty (see section 7.2 of this [draft] Standard). The amount of precision needed and attainable, and the factors that make information accurate, depend on the nature of the information and the nature of the matters it addresses. For example, accuracy requires that:
- (a) factual information is free from material error;
 - (b) descriptions are precise;
 - (c) estimates, approximations and forecasts are clearly identified as such;
 - (d) no material errors have been made in selecting and applying an appropriate process for developing an estimate, approximation or forecast, and the inputs to that process are reasonable and supportable;
 - (e) assertions are reasonable and based on information of sufficient quality and quantity; and
 - (f) information about judgements about the future faithfully reflects both those judgements and the information on which they are based.

Comparability

- QC 10. Sustainability information is comparable when it can be compared with information provided by the undertaking in previous periods and, can be compared with information provided by other undertakings, in particular those with similar activities or operating within the same industry. A point of reference for comparison can be a target, a baseline, an industry benchmark, comparable information from either other undertakings or from an internationally recognised organisation, etc.
- QC 11. Consistency is related to, but is not the same as, comparability. Consistency refers to the use of the same approaches or methods for the same sustainability matter, from period to period by the undertaking and other undertakings. Consistency helps to achieve the goal of comparability.
- QC 12. Comparability is not uniformity. For information to be comparable, like components shall look alike and different components shall look different. Comparability of sustainability information is not enhanced by making unlike things look alike any more than it is enhanced by making like things look different.

Verifiability

- QC 13. Verifiability helps to give users confidence that information is complete, neutral and accurate. Sustainability information is verifiable if it is possible to corroborate either such information itself or the inputs used to derive it.
- QC 14. Verifiability means that various knowledgeable and independent observers could reach consensus, although not necessarily complete agreement, that a particular depiction is a faithful representation. Sustainability information shall be provided in a way that enhances their verifiability, for example:
- (a) including information that can be corroborated by comparing it with other information available to users about the undertaking's business, about other businesses or about the external environment;
 - (b) providing information about inputs and methods of calculation used to produce estimates or approximations; and

- (c) providing information reviewed and agreed by the **administrative, management and supervisory bodies** or their committees.

QC 15. Some sustainability information will be in the form of explanations or forward-looking information. Those disclosures can be supportable by faithfully representing on a factual basis for example the strategies, plans and risk analyses of the undertaking. To help users decide whether to use such information, the undertaking shall describe the underlying assumptions and methods of producing the information, as well as other factors that provide evidence that verify that it reflects the actual plans or decisions made by the undertaking.

Understandability

QC 16. Sustainability information is understandable when it is clear, and concise. Understandable information enables any reasonable knowledgeable user to readily comprehend the information being communicated.

QC 17. For sustainability disclosures to be concise, they need to (a) avoid generic “boilerplate” information, which is not specific to the undertaking; (b) avoid unnecessary duplication of information, including information also provided in financial statements; and (c) use clear language and well-structured sentences and paragraphs. Concise disclosures shall only include material information. Complementary information presented pursuant to paragraph shall106 be provided in a way that avoids obscuring material information.

QC 18. Clarity might be enhanced by distinguishing information about developments in the reporting period from “standing” information that remains relatively unchanged, from one period to the next. This can be done for example, by separately describing features of the undertaking’s sustainability-related governance and risk management processes that have changed since the previous reporting period compared to those that remain unchanged.

QC 19. The completeness, clarity and comparability of sustainability disclosures all rely on information being presented as a coherent whole. For sustainability disclosures to be coherent, they shall be presented in a way that explains the context and the relationships between the related information. Coherence also requires the undertaking to provide information in a way that allows users to relate information about its sustainability-related **impacts, risks** and opportunities to information in the undertaking’s financial statements.

QC 20. If sustainability-related **risks** and opportunities discussed in the financial statements have implications for sustainability reporting, the undertaking shall include in the **sustainability statement** the information necessary for users to assess those implications and present appropriate links to the financial statements (see chapter 9 of this [draft] Standard). The level of information, granularity and technicality shall be aligned with the needs and expectations of users. Abbreviations shall be avoided and the units of measure shall be defined and disclosed.

Appendix C: List of phased-in Disclosure Requirements

DRAFT

Appendix D: Structure of ESRS sustainability statements

DRAFT

Appendix E: Flowchart for determining disclosures to be included

DRAFT

Appendix F: Example of structure of ESRS sustainability statements

DRAFT

Appendix G: Example of incorporation by reference

DRAFT



EFRAG is co-funded by the European Union and EEA and EFTA countries. The contents of the documents are however the sole responsibility of the EFRAG PTF- ESRS and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the Directorate-General for Financial Stability, Financial Services and Capital Markets Union (DG FISMA). Neither the European Union nor DG FISMA can be held responsible for them.